



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/933,666	08/22/2001	William R. Herrell III	11011-0002	6634
75	90 07/12/2004		EXAMINER	
CLARK & BRODY			NGUYEN, MERILYN P	
Suite 600 1750 K Street, N	٧W		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, D			2171	5
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004	, ,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

R

		<u> </u>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/933,666	HERRELL, WILLIAM R.	M
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1
	Merilyn P Nguyen	2171	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF	DI V IS SET TO EXDIDE 2 M	ONTH(S) EDOM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a religible of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	April 2004.		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 August 2001</u> is/an	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ob	ected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	***		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn	· -	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Oπice Action or form P10-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been	oplication No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li		received.	
Attachment(s)	A) 🔲 Intonious S	ummary (PTO-413)	
Notice of References Cited (P10-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) ☐ Notice of In 6) ☑ Other: <u>Deta</u>	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) i <u>iled Action</u> .	

Art Unit: 2171

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application claims priority from provisional patent application no. 60/228,771 filed on August 30, 2000.

2. In response to the communication dated 04/14/2004, claims 1-18 are active in this application.

Acknowledges

3. Receipt is acknowledged of the following items from the Applicant:

The applicant amendment has been considered and made of record as Paper No. 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shields (US 2002/0032636), in view of Gakidis (US 2002/0095305).

Regarding claim 1, Shields discloses a method for evaluating performance of an employee comprising the steps of:

a. issuing shares in each employee (See paragraph [0005], [0009], lines 17-26), and

Art Unit: 2171

b. establishing a market for trading said shares. (See Fig. 9, and [0083, 0084]).

However, Shields is silent as to trading shares of all employees in the market, wherein at least one of the employee's performance can be evaluated based on a value of the traded shares of said one employee. On the other hand, Gakidis teaches trading shares of all employees in the market (Please see [0066], [0081]) and the performance of employee can be evaluated based on the traded shares (Please see [0083], [0089], [0096]). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to evaluate employee based on traded shares. The motivation would have been evaluating the performance of each employee so that the attract interest on different products can be obtained.

Regarding claim 2, Shields/Gakidis discloses a searchable database having a profile for each of a number of employees (See paragraphs [0010], [0039], lines 11-21, and [0047], lines 15-32).

Regarding claim 3, Shields/Gakidis discloses a method of evaluating employees of an enterprise comprising:

- a) generating an electronic personal profile for each employee and storing each profile in a database (See paragraphs [0010], [0039], lines 11-21, and [0047], lines 15-32);
- b) issuing a number of shares for each employee, the shares having a unit value for each employee (See paragraphs [0005], [0049-0050], and [0056]);

Art Unit: 2171

c) establishing a market for buying and selling of the shares wherein employees can only buy or sell shares of other employees (See Figs. 8, 9, and paragraphs [0075]-[0079]); and

- d) identifying at least one job performance trait as part of each buying or selling of shares of each employee (See Figs. 14, 15, and paragraphs [0102, 0103]).
- e) evaluating at least one employee based on at least the traded value of the one employee's shares as addressed above in claim 1.

Regarding claim 4, Shields/Gakidis discloses only a select group or employees or owners has access to the at least one job performance trait identified in step (d) (See Figs. 3, and 18).

Regarding claim 5, Shields/Gakidis discloses the buying and selling is performed using a global worldwide network or a specific area network (See paragraph [0041]).

Regarding claim 6, Shields/Gakidis discloses at least some of the employees update their electronic personal profiles to increase the unit value of their shares (See paragraphs [0054], and Fig. 13).

Regarding claim 7, Shields/Gakidis discloses the unit value is measured in terms of currency, time, an article, or combinations or fractions thereof (See Fig. 7B).

Art Unit: 2171

Regarding claim 8, Shields/ Gakidis discloses time is the unit value, and the time is one of vacation time, compensatory time, billable time, non-billable time, sick time, maternity time, and combinations and fractions, thereof (See paragraphs [0078, 0087]).

Regarding claim 9, Shields/ Gakidis discloses buying or selling of shares is done anonymously (See paragraph [0075]).

Regarding claim 10, Shields/ Gakidis discloses the database is searchable to allow employees to search for at least capabilities of other employees (See [0078]).

Regarding claim 11, Shields/ Gakidis discloses each employee has a portfolio of shares comprising shares of at least one employee superior, at least one employee peer, and at least one employee subordinate (See Fig. 7B).

Regarding claim 12, Shields/ Gakidis discloses at least one employee creating a watch list identifying at least one other employee, the one employee being notified when a profile of the at least one other employee is updated (See [0039], lines 11-21).

Regarding claim 13, Shields/ Gakidis discloses a web page accessible for each employee, the web page comprising:

- o access to the electronic personal profile of the employee for updating, and monitoring of share performance;
- o access to the market for buying and selling of the shares of other employees, and

Art Unit: 2171

search capability to access at least information in the electronic personal profile of other employees.

See Figs. 14-23.

Regarding claim 14, Shields/ Gakidis discloses the web page further comprises access to news provided by the enterprise (148, Fig. 2); access to a help program (System help 132, Fig. 2); access to a frequently asked question program (152, Fig. 2); and access to an indices of data sorted by job function (See [0046]).

Regarding claim 15, Shields/ Gakidis discloses a system for evaluating employees of an enterprise comprising:

a searchable database storing an electronic personal profile of each employee of the enterprise and a number of shares assigned to each employee (See paragraphs [0010], [0039], lines 11-21, and [0047], lines 15-32); a market trading system accessible by each employee, the market trading system permitting shares of each employee to be traded by buying or selling when at least one job performance trait associated the employee whose share is being traded is identified by the employee requesting the trade (See Figs. 8, 9, and paragraphs [0075]-[0079], and See Figs. 14, 15, and paragraphs [0102, 0103]), the buying and selling of shares affecting the value of the employee' shares to allow for employee evaluation based on share value as addressed above in claim 1; and

o a computer allowing each employee access to the searchable database and the market trading system (Finance system 4, Fig. 1).

Art Unit: 2171

Regarding claim 16, Shields/ Gakidis discloses the computer accesses the searchable database and market trading system through one of a global network and a local area network and a server (See paragraph [0041]).

Regarding claim 17, Shields/ Gakidis discloses the market trading system requires each trade to be done without revealing the name of the employee trader (See Fig. 9).

Regarding claim 18, Shields/ Gakidis discloses the market trading system generates quotes on shares of the employees based on at least supply and demand for the shares (Quote Server 30, Fig. 1).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 04/14/2004 with respect to claims 1-18 have been fully considered but they are considered moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/933,666

Art Unit: 2171

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than

SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Merilyn P Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-

5177. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for

regular communications and 703-746-7240 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-

3900.

MN

MN

June 28, 2004

SAFET METJAHIC IPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100